Federal Sentencing After Booker

a Federal Judicial Center broadcast on the FJTN

first broadcast February 7, 2005

Outline of Program with Citations to Statutory Provisions, Cases, and Other Materials Referenced During Program



The Federal Judicial Television Network bringing you education and information from the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, the Federal Judicial Center, and the U.S. Sentencing Commission

The Federal Judicial Center

Board

The Chief Justice of the United States, *Chair* Magistrate Judge Robert B. Collings, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts Judge Bernice B. Donald, U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee Judge Terence T. Evans, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit Chief Judge Robert F. Hershner, Jr., U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Georgia Judge Pierre N. Leval, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Judge James A. Parker, U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico Judge Sarah S. Vance, U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana Leonidas Ralph Mecham, Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts

Director

Judge Barbara J. Rothstein

Deputy Director

Russell R. Wheeler

About the Federal Judicial Center

The Federal Judicial Center is the research and education agency of the federal judicial system. It was established by Congress in 1967 (28 U.S.C. §§ 620–629), on the recommendation of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

By statute, the Chief Justice of the United States chairs the Center's Board, which also includes the director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and seven judges elected by the Judicial Conference.

The organization of the Center reflects its primary statutory mandates. The Education Division plans and produces education and training programs for judges and court staff, including satellite broadcasts, video programs, publications, curriculum packages for in-court training, and Web-based programs and resources. The Research Division examines and evaluates current and alternative federal court practices and policies. This research assists Judicial Conference committees, who request most Center research, in developing policy recommendations. The Center's research also contributes substantially to its educational programs. The two divisions work closely with two units of the Director's Office—the Systems Innovations & Development Office and Communications Policy & Design Office—in using print, broadcast, and on-line media to deliver education and training and to disseminate the results of Center research. The Federal Judicial History Office helps courts and others study and preserve federal judicial history. The International Judicial Relations Office provides information to judicial and legal officials from foreign countries and assesses how to inform federal judicial personnel of developments in international law and other court systems that may affect their work.

Federal Sentencing After Booker

PANEL DISCUSSION

Beverly Dyer Assistant Federal Public Defender in the District of Columbia

David Keeler Chief U.S. Probation Officer in the Eastern District of Michigan

Susan Klein Baker and Botts Professor in Law at the University of Texas at Austin

Deborah Rhodes Counselor to the Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice

> Russell Wheeler Deputy Director, Federal Judicial Center (moderator)

INTERVIEW WITH JUDGE RICARDO HINOJOSA Chair, U.S. Sentencing Commission

The following pages are broken out into headings that follow the panel's discussion. They include citations and other references along with space for note taking. The program segments are:

Panel Discussion

What Parts of the Sentencing Reform Act Survive?	2
Elements of a Regime of Advisory Guideline Sentencing	3
Charging Stage	4
Pleas	4
Pretrial Supervision	5
Trials	5
Presentence Report	6
Sentencing Hearing	7
Statement of Reasons	8
Appeal	9
Restitution/Criminal Forfeiture	
Application to What Cases?	. 11
Interview with Judge Ricardo Hinojosa (S.D. Tex.)	
chair, U.S. Sentencing Commission	. 12
Documentation Required to be Sent to the Sentencing Commission, Where to Send	
Suggestions for Revisions to Forms	. 12
Statement of Reasons	
Commission Hearings, February 15-16	. 13
Role of Commission	. 13
Resources	. 14

What Parts of the Sentencing Reform Act Survive?

- U.S. v. Booker, 543 U.S. —, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005) (Breyer, J. opinion of the Court) (severing and excising 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(b)(1) and 3742(e) "and statutory cross references to these two sections consequently invalidated") http://www.supremecourtus.gov/opinions/04pdf/04-104.pdf
- Provisions discussed as possibly getting more attention post-*Booker*: §§ 3551, 3553(a), (a)(2)(A)–(D), 3661, 3582(a)
- Provisions discussed as possibly confusing: § 3553(b)(2)(A) (sentencing in child crimes and sexual offenses)
- Provision discussed as possibly receiving increased emphasis in presentence report in advisory guideline system: U.S.S.G. § 5H (specific offender characteristics)

Elements of a Regime of Advisory Guideline Sentencing

 Post-*Booker* cases discussed applying advisory guidelines: U.S. v. Wilson, No. 2:03-CR-00882 (D. Utah Jan. 13, 2005) (Cassell, J.) http://www.utd.uscourts.gov/reports/wilson.pdf
 U.S. v. Ranum, No. 04-CR-31 (E.D. Wis. Jan. 19, 2005) (Adelman, J.) http://sentencing.typepad.com/sentencing_law_and_policy/files/us_v_ranum.rtf
 U.S. v. Barkley, No. 04-CR-119 (N.D. Okla. Jan. 24, 2005) (Holmes, J.) http://sentencing.typepad.com/sentencing_law_and_policy/files/cj_holmes_barkley_opinion.pdf
 U.S. v. Huerta-Rodriguez, No. 8:04-CR-365 (D. Neb. Feb. 1, 2005) (Bataillon, J.) http://sentencing.typepad.com/sentencing_law_and_policy/files/judge_bataillon_huerta_ruling.pdf

Charging Stage

- Case referred to in discussion of government's approach to charging: *Blakely v. Washington*, 542 U.S. —, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004) http://www.supremecourtus.gov/opinions/03pdf/02-1632.pdf
- Provision discussed regarding government's use of departure motions in advisory guidelines system: U.S.S.G. § 5K

Pleas

Pretrial Supervision

• Post-*Booker* case discussed where court considered defendant's pretrial behavior in arriving at sentence: *U.S. v. Myers*, No. 3:04-CR-147 (S.D. Iowa Jan. 26, 2005) (Pratt, J.)

http://www.iasd.uscourts.gov/iasd/opinions.nsf/49bb3d458bfdfed386256863007bc595/b92471ad58f23 6cf86256f95007460cc/\$FILE/Myers%201-26-05.pdf

Trials

Presentence Report

- Manual discussed regarding format and content of post-*Booker* presentence reports: Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, *Publication 107: The Presentence Investigation Report* (Rev. March 2003)
- Memorandum discussed regarding post-*Booker* reporting requirements: United States Judicial Conference Committee on Criminal Law & United States Sentencing Commission, Memorandum on *Documentation to be Sent to Sentencing Commission* (Jan. 21, 2005)

http://www.ussc.gov as "CLC/USSC Joint Memorandum to the Federal Judiciary"

Provisions discussed regarding presentence report and post-*Booker* report's inclusion of factors to be considered in imposing a sentence:
 18 U.S.C. §§ 3552, 3553(a)
 Fed. R. .Crim. P. 11, 32(d)

Sentencing Hearing

 Cases discussed regarding defendant's procedural protections: Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) Jencks v. U.S., 353 U.S. 657, 77 S. Ct. 1007 (1957)

Statement of Reasons

Appeal

- Grounds for appeal: including 18 U.S.C. §§ 3742(a), (b), (e), (f)
- Memorandum discussed regarding government's post-*Booker* sentencing policies and procedures: U.S. Dept. of Justice, *Memorandum on Department Policies and Procedures Concerning Sentencing* (Jan. 28, 2005)

http://www.sentencing.typepad.com/sentencing_law_and_policy/files/dag_jan_28_comey_memo_on_booker.pdf

Restitution/Criminal Forfeiture

 Cases discussed regarding impact of *Booker*: *Apprendi v. New Jersey*, 530 U.S. 466, 120 S. Ct. 2438 (2000) *Blakely v. Washington*, 542 U.S. —, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004) *Harris v. U.S.*, 536 U.S. 545, 122 S. Ct. 2406 (2002)

Application to What Cases?

Interview with Judge Ricardo Hinojosa (S.D. Tex.) *chair*, U.S. Sentencing Commission

Documentation Required to be Sent to the Sentencing Commission, Where to Send Suggestions for Revisions to Forms

Memorandum on *Documentation to be Sent to Sentencing Commission* (Jan. 21, 2005) <u>http://www.ussc.gov</u> as "CLC/USSC Joint Memorandum to the Federal Judiciary")

Statement of Reasons

Commission Hearings, February 15-16

Role of Commission

Resources

U.S. Sentencing Commission http://www.ussc.gov

Blogs Sentencing Law and Policy http://sentencing.typepad.com

White Collar Crime Prof Blog http://lawprofessors.typepad.com/whitecollarcrime_blog/2005/02/the_booker_effe.html

Federal Public Defenders in the circuits also maintain blogs. Go to <u>http://circuitY.blogspot.com</u> (where Y is the number of the circuit)

Program Evaluation for Federal Sentencing After Booker

Please indicate your position in the court: □ Court of appeals judge Probation or pretrial services officer Law clerk District judge Other 1. What is your overall evaluation of the program? (*please circle one*) 1 2 3 4 5 7 6 Poor Excellent 2. How would you describe the length of the program? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Too short Too Long 3. How would you rate the program as an overview of sentencing issues following the decision in *Booker*? 2 1 3 4 5 6 7 Poor Excellent 4. What is your overall evaluation of the program faculty? 1 2 3 5 4 7 6 Poor Excellent

- 5. Did you learn things about the issues discussed in the program that you did not know before watching the program?
 - □ Yes □ No
- 6. What suggestions do you have for improving future Center programs on the FJTN (additional comments on a separate sheet are welcome):

- 7. Did you view the program at the FJTN broadcast or later via videotape?
 - □ Broadcast □ Videotape

Please fax the completed form to the Federal Judicial Center at 202-502-4299. No cover sheet is required. Thank you.